Rec'd PCT/PTO 30 DEC 2004

### PATENT COOPERATION TREAT

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

2 3 SEPT 2004

То:		PCT				
Zacco Norway AS P.O. Box 765, Sentrum N-0106 OSLO Norge		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY  (PCT Rule 66)				
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	2 1 -09- 2004			
Applicant's or agent's file reference E30271 JFL/JOB		REPLY DUE	within 15 days from the above date of mailing			
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/NO 2003/000234	04-07-2003		05-07-2002			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G07F 7/06, G07C 15/00						
Applicant Tomra Systems ASA et al						
1. The written opinion establish	ed by the International Se	earching Authority				
The written opinion established by the International Searching Authority:      is						
2. This first (first, etc.) opinion contains indications relating to the following items:						
Box No. I Basis of the opinion						
Box No. II Priority						
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention						

The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion.
 When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(e).

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;

How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.

For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4bis.

For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4.

citations and explanations supporting such statement

Certain defects in the international application

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.

4. The final date by which the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II of the PCT) must be established according to Rule 69.2 is:

Certain documents cited

05-11-2004

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/SE

Patent- och registreringsverket

Box 5055
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM

Facsimile No. 46 8 667 72 88

Authorized officer

Patrik Rydman /LR

Telephone No. 46 8 782 25 00

Box No. V

Box No. VI

Box No. VII

Also

Box	No. I	Basis of the opinion					
<ol> <li>With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.</li> </ol>							
		This opinion is based on a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:					
		international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))					
		publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)					
		international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)					
2.	which	ith regard to the elements of the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of (replacement sheets sich have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as priginally filed."):					
		the international application as originally filed/furnished					
	لـــا	the description:					
		pages as originally filed/furnished  pages as originally filed/furnished					
		pages received by this Authority on pages received by this Authority on					
		the claims:					
		magas					
		pages as originally filed/furnished as originally filed/furnished as originally filed/furnished as a mended (together with any statement) under Article 19					
		pages received by this Authority on					
		pages received by this Authority on					
ı		the drawings:					
		pages as originally filed/furnished					
		pagesitective by this Authority on					
		pages received by this Authority on					
	Ш	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.					
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description, pages					
		the claims, Nos.					
		the drawings, sheets/figs					
		the sequence listing (specify):					
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):					
4.		This opinion has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).					
		the description, pages					
		the claims, Nos.					
		the drawings, sheets/figs					
		the sequence listing (specify):					
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):					

# WRI OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

national application No.
national application No. PCT/NO2003/000234

Box No. V	Reasoned statement un citations and explanations		2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; g such statement
1. Statemer	nt		
Nov	elty (N)	Claims Claims	
Inve	ntive step (IS)	Claims Claims	1-3, 11, 12, 14, 21-25
Indu	strial applicability (IA)	Claims Claims	

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

Reply to the applicant's letter of 06 May 2004, received on 17 May 2004.

The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT, because the subject-matter of new claims 1-3, 11, 12, 14, 21-25 does not involve an inventive step.

The problem to be solved by the claimed invention is to provide a method and a system contributing to an increase in the return of empty packaging and to an increase in the desire to return empty packaging.

The following documents (D) are referred to in this communication; the numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:

D1: WO, 97/46985, A1 D2: US, 6267670, B1 D3: US, 5085308, A

Document D1 (page 3, line 25- page 5, line 31) discloses a reverse vending machine allowing a user to decide that the compensation paid is to be devoted to charity. The machine comprises report producing means either constituting a part of the machine itself or in combination with an external computer located at the site of the reversed vending machine or remotely in a report centre for the charity organization in question. The reverse vending machine communicates with the external computer through a communication link.

. . . / . . .

## WRI OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of:  $Box\ V$ 

Document D2 (abstract, figures 1, 2, 5, 8-11, column 10, lines 46-64) discloses a system and method for performing integrated lottery and merchandise transaction: a customer at a POS (point of sales) may choose to purchase a lottery ticket in exchange for the change amount. The method includes the steps of calculating a change amount of a merchandise transaction; receiving a request to purchase a lottery ticket in exchange for the change amount; transmitting a request for a lottery ticket, the request including the change amount; receiving lottery ticket information that is based on the change amount; and printing the lottery ticket information on a receipt if the request to purchase a lottery ticket in exchange for the change amount is received, the lottery ticket information including a plurality of lottery numbers. It is implicit the application that if there is no connection to the lottery data processing system, which corresponds to the external database server according to the application, the customer is handed the change in cash. I.e. the customer is allowed to choose between buying lottery tickets with the change amount or receiving the change amount. The customer may also select lottery number, this information is sent to the lottery data processing system by the POS controller.

Document D3 (column 4, lines 59-68) discloses a reverse vending machine allowing a user to play a game and winning a prize when returning articles.

The subject-matter of claims 1-3, 11, 12 and 14 differs from the method of document D2 in that a reverse vending machine is used as the point-of-trade (POS in D2).

The difference between what is disclosed in D2 and the subject matter of the claimed invention according to claims 1-3, 11, 12 and 14 is not technical but administrational (Rule 39 PCT).

The additional feature of claims 1-3, 11, 12 and 14 solve the problem that people to a large enough extent do not return empty packaging. This is clearly an administrational problem and the search examiner could not establish any technical solution in the application which might potentially have required an inventive step to overcome.

.../...

### WRIT OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRESEMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: Box  $\,V\,$ 

In addition to what is stated in the previous two paragraphs it is well know through document D1 to allow a user of a reverse vending machine to decide what to do with the redemption amount receive cash or spend the money in some other way.

The claimed invention according to claims 1, 2 and 11 further differs from the method disclosed in D2 in that a confirmation is sent to the external database server that tickets have been issued.

The feature of confirming an issued lottery ticket is merely a slight constructional change which comes within the scope of the customary practice followed by persons skilled in the art, especially as the advantages thus achieved can readily be foreseen.

The subject matter of claims 1-3, 11, 12 and 14 is thus not inventive.

The subject matter of claims 21-25 differs from what is disclosed in document D1 in that the return money is used for participating in a lottery instead of giving it to charity. Again, this is an administrational feature with the known objective to increase the will of people to return empty packaging. The search examiner could not establish any technical solution in the application which might potentially have required an inventive step to overcome.

Remark: the claims as a whole are technical, but not the contribution over the prior art.